1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY
3	PATRICK BRADY, et al., CIVIL ACTION NUMBER:
4	Plaintiffs, 02-2917 (JEI)
5	-vs- TELECONFERENCE
6	AIR LINE PILOTS ASSOCIATION, INTERNATIONAL, et al.,
7	Defendants.
9	Mitchell H. Cohen United States Courthouse One John F. Gerry Plaza
10	Camden, New Jersey 08101 September 18, 2012
11	B E F O R E: THE HONORABLE JOSEPH E. IRENAS
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13	A P P E A R A N C E S: GREEN JACOBSON BY: JOE D. JACOBSON, ESQUIRE
14	BY: ALLEN P. PRESS, ESQUIRE ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS
15	TRUJILLO RODRIGUEZ & RICHARDS
16	BY: NICOLE M. ACCHIONE, ESQUIRE ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS
17 18	ARCHER & GREINER BY: STEVEN J. FRAM, ESQUIRE
19	BY: JOHN C. CONNELL, ESQUIRE ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT, AIR LINE PILOTS ASSOCIATION
20	BY: MARTA WAGNER, ESQUIRE
21	BY: ELIZABETH A. GINSBURG, ESQUIRE ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT, AIR LINE PILOTS ASSOCIATION
22	KATZ & RANZMAN
23	BY: DANIEL M. KATZ, ESQUIRE ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT
24	Certified as true and correct as required by Title 28, U.S.C., Section 753.
25	/S/ Carol A. Farrell, CCR, CRR, RMR, CCP

```
-Teleconference —
             (Teleconference in chambers with all counsel
 1
 2
    appearing telephonically, commencing at 4:00 p.m.)
 3
             THE COURT: Hello? Hello?
             UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Your Honor, good afternoon.
 4
 5
             THE COURT: This is Judge Irenas. Who else is there?
 6
             UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Your Honor, Joe Jacobson,
 7
    Allen Press, Michael --
 8
             THE COURT: All right. Let's start over again.
 9
    have a court reporter here. Okay. Just announce who the
    lawyers are and who you represent.
10
             MR. PRESS: For the plaintiff, Allen Press and Joe
11
    Jacobson here in St. Louis.
12
13
             MS. ACCHIONE: Nicole Acchione from Trujillo,
    Rodriguez & Richards for plaintiff.
14
             THE COURT: Okay. Who else?
15
             MR. CONNELL: John Connell and Steve Fram, Archer
16
    Greiner, for the defendant. And Dan Katz, as well.
17
18
             THE COURT: From Washington?
             MR. KATZ: That's correct, Your Honor.
19
20
             THE COURT: Right. Okay. That's everybody?
21
             MR. FRAM: Your Honor, I believe Betty Ginsberg.
22
             THE COURT: Oh, she's in-house counsel.
23
             MR. FRAM: Yes. And Marta Wagner is also with Mr.
24
    Connell and Mr. Press in St. Louis, I believe.
25
             MS. WAGNER: That's right. Thank you, Your Honor.
```

```
-Teleconference -
             THE COURT: Okay. And that's ALPA's general counsel,
 1
 2
    right?
 3
             UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: In-house counsel.
             UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: In-house.
 4
 5
             THE COURT: In-house general counsel. Okay.
 6
             This morning, I came in early to get ready to pick a
 7
    jury this morning, which I have done, but I'm handed papers
 8
    from Archer Greiner, I guess Mr. Fram and/or is that from --
    oh, it's John Connell's letterhead. John, they got you doing
    something.
10
             MR. CONNELL: Imagine that, Judge.
11
12
             THE COURT: Are things slow? What's going on?
13
             It's not from Mr. Fram. It's from John Connell.
                                                                And
    then a short, really, in effect, a one-page response from Lisa
14
    Rodriguez. The dispute appears to be discovery.
15
             I did -- to go back, I read the interrogatories, the
16
    unanswered interrogatories. And it's very clear that most of
17
18
    those answers, as a practical matter, couldn't really be
19
    given.
20
             But Mr. Connell, if you're going to speak, tell me
21
    what it is you want.
22
             MR. CONNELL: Well, Judge --
23
             THE COURT: It's, in effect, your application.
24
             MR. CONNELL: Effectively, Judge, you had said some
25
    time ago and have said repeatedly since then that, you know,
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

-Teleconference -

you're wondering how plaintiffs are going to prove this case with damages, and we are, too. And one of the most effective ways they're going to do it is through documentation of exactly what their economic loss was. But --THE COURT: Yeah, but I don't think --MR. CONNELL: So on --THE COURT: I don't know. Maybe -- well, it's hard for me to envision that I'm going to take thousands of pilots and -- or however many there were, even though there were a lot less than American pilots, there are still a fair number of them. Weren't there over a thousand pilots? MR. CONNELL: 2,300. THE COURT: 2,300. And, you know, hold a trial as to what -- you know, if you look at the interrogatories and think you can answer those interrogatories for all 2300, and then try to figure out who had a loss of who didn't. We know that a certain number didn't, the ones that were above the staple didn't. But they're a minority. I mean, most of them probably were claim losses. And so what do you want me to do? Tell me what it is you would like me to do, Mr. Connell. What do you want me to do? MR. CONNELL: The order that Your Honor entered on September 7th required not production of all documents responsive to the 14 document requests of May 31 for 2,300

```
pilots but, rather, only for five class representatives.
 1
 2
             THE COURT: Right.
 3
             MR. CONNELL: And we've only gotten a smattering of
 4
    those documents, and that was, by the way, in preparation for
    the depositions of the class reps, which has been accelerated
 5
    to a date before the production of plaintiffs' expert report.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: What's the date now -- I just didn't get
 8
    a chance because I was on trial all day. What is the date for
 9
    the expert reports? Plaintiffs' expert reports?
             MR. PRESS:
                        The 28th.
10
             THE COURT: The 28th of September?
11
12
             MR. PRESS: Correct.
13
             THE COURT: So we're almost there.
             MR. PRESS: We're almost there.
14
15
             THE COURT:
                        Today is the 18th. So we're -- we're
16
    just ten days away.
             What do we have from the five class reps?
17
             MR. PRESS: Judge, this is Allen Press.
18
19
    depositions you ordered are beginning. We're in the middle of
    one right now. And they will all be concluded this week. We
20
    have produced in advance of the depositions all of the -- all
21
22
    the class reps' wage -- W-2 data --
             THE COURT: Well, there would be -- you'd have W-2s,
23
24
    you'd have 1099s, you could have K-1s.
25
             MR. PRESS: Right.
```

THE COURT: I mean, there may be other things, but 1 2 those are the three that occur to me. 3 MR. PRESS: And then ALPA's lawyers last week said they'd also like to see the tax returns so we're collecting 4 all that now and producing those in advance of each 5 deposition, Judge. So we've complied and --7 THE COURT: Well, let me ask you this. The one --8 the depositions you're in the middle of is who? MR. PRESS: It's Mike Finucan. And, Judge, just so 9 you know, he's in the room right now, listening in. 10 THE COURT: Well, that's okay. Nothing secret here. 11 12 Have his tax returns been produced? 13 MR. CONNELL: They were just produced at the very beginning of this deposition today, Judge. 14 I understand the Hollander's tax returns were 15 e-mailed to our Haddonfield office, but we don't have any of 16 the tax returns of the other people, but we're even missing 17 some tax returns from Mr. Finucan. The fact of the matter is 18 all of this information was supposed to be produced on 19 September 11 in preparation for the depositions. 20 receiving this stuff for the first time today. 21 22 THE COURT: What's missing with Finucan? 23 MR. JACOBSON: Your Honor, this is Joe Jacobson. 24 defending Mr. Finucan's deposition. THE COURT: Yes. 25

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
MR. JACOBSON: The tax returns that he had, he could
not locate a tax return for the year 2000, the year 2002,
2004, so he did not produce those. He can't produce documents
that he can't find. Each tax return is a two-page document
for the first of two pages. They have the same data as far as
income that the W-2s, 1099s had. There is not more than five
minutes of scanning to see if there is anything that's
different, and that was done at the beginning of the
deposition. We haven't had any questions on these tax returns
    I anticipate they'll be coming up soon.
         MR. CONNELL: But the fact of the matter is, Your
Honor, as you had pointed out before, this information has
been available to plaintiffs for years, not to mention since
May 31st, and I just don't understand why we're getting it,
you know, when I walk into a deposition today.
         THE COURT: Well, that's water over the dam. I mean,
what Mr. Finucan has produced, he's produced. Whether the
absence of those three years will have some significance --
does he have W-2s for those years?
         MR. JACOBSON: Yes.
         MR. CONNELL: For some of them, yes.
         THE COURT: Because those years, he was -- was he
flying for the airline those years?
         UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.
         THE COURT: Well then, he would have -- then
```

-Teleconference presumably, he would have W-2s for those, or he would have 1 2 copies of them. 3 All right. Mr. Connell, what is -- again, I'm trying to figure out, have -- the W-2s, I gather, have been produced 4 already, right? 5 6 MR. CONNELL: Some of them have been. Not all of 7 them have been. There is one of the class reps that we only 8 have Social Security information on. We do not have W-2s. Okay? We don't have a complete set of furlough notices, recall notices, furlough communication. 10 THE COURT: Yeah, but -- do they exist? I mean --11 12 MR. CONNELL: We don't have that stuff. The fact of 13 the matter is what we do have is a smattering of documents, and a responsive -- an answer from the plaintiffs that 14 basically objects to each of the categories of document 15 production. If they don't have them, then they should be put 16 to the task of saying "We don't have this information for the 17 18 following of the five class reps." But they haven't done that. They've just said, "We object." 19 THE COURT: Mr. Jacobson? 20 21 MR. JACOBSON: The requests were made to the class as 22 a whole, not simply the five class reps.

MR. JACOBSON: That's correct. The class reps have

THE COURT: No, I know, but it's effectively, for the

moment, been limited to the class five -- the five class reps.

23

24

25

-Teleconference -

produced what they have. We can't produce what they don't have. And the objections were asserted, just for the record, because it was a class-wide request. But we're not --

THE COURT: Well, I want to make it clear right now, that I consider the class-wide requests premature. But I do think that the five class reps should produce that material, to the extent they have it. I mean, it could well be that for -- I don't know whether you would keep furlough -- you know, I mean, some of the -- when I read the requests this morning, my reaction to some of it was that they just might not keep that stuff. I mean, just, you know, they just might not have it.

MR. FRAM: Your Honor, it's Steve Fram.

There is one other category of documents that we think we know exists that haven't been produced for at least one plaintiff. Sally Young we have reason to believe was disciplined in some way and was not permitted to fly for about six months in 2011. So we had asked, for all the pilots, and obviously --

THE COURT: Yeah, I saw that question.

MR. FRAM: Yeah. So we want to see any materials relating to any discipline that would have prevented the pilot from flying, medical disability and the like. That, as I understand it, has not been produced.

The other thing I would note, Your Honor, is that the

-Teleconference -

plaintiffs just filed within the last hour a notice for motion, a notice for -- a motion for notice to the class, asking the members of the class, or at least some of them, to produce a broad range of information, many of the same types of documents that we're talking about. We happen to think that's premature. We thought that was an issue that Your Honor was going to discuss with counsel --

THE COURT: Well, I just -- I mean, maybe I'm -- I just thought that we would get a -- I never believed that it would be September -- close to September 28th and we still didn't have a theory of plaintiffs' damages. But it just struck me that real extensive and nitty-gritty discovery would be better done if we knew the theory, if we knew -- if we knew the framework for the claims.

MR. CONNELL: Judge, I think that's exactly right and, in fact, I think even plaintiffs' counsel agrees with that proposition.

THE COURT: I think so. I mean, I'm not soliciting approval of my view, but my view is that once we have a framework, we can begin to fine-tune maybe a little bit the discovery requests or demands for documents or even from the plaintiffs to their own class.

MR. FRAM: Well, Your Honor, that's my point, is that the notice that they're proposing to send asks for information from the class members that has not been produced in --

-Teleconference -THE COURT: Why is that being filed with the Court? 1 2 MR. FRAM: Beg your pardon, Your Honor? 3 THE COURT: Why -- maybe I should address this to Mr. Jacobson. Why is the -- is that request to your class 4 5 being filed with the Court? 6 MS. ACCHIONE: Your Honor, this is Nicole Acchione. 7 I can address that. 8 When we were in front of Your Honor in July of this year, I believe Mr. Rodriguez raised the issue with the Court, 9 because it was a notice to the class, because a class member's 10 failure to produce documents could result perhaps in the 11 inability of a class member to obtain a monetary judgment, if 12 13 we were successful. We wanted the Court to approve the notice that was going to the class, since the Court is the fiduciary 14 as well to the class, and so we wanted to have it -- the form 15 of the notice approved by the Court and, also, the manner that 16 we intended to disseminate the notice to the class reviewed 17 18 and approved by the Court. 19 THE COURT: And you want me to do this before we have 20 the expert's report? 21 MR. FRAM: That's our concern, Your Honor. We think 22 it's premature. We don't know --23 THE COURT: Well, let me say, notwithstanding the

> United States District Court Camden. New Jersev

theory that I'm a fiduciary for the class -- I mean, I don't

know that I'm -- I guess maybe in one sense I am, but I owe

24

25

-Teleconference -

duties to both parties here, to the defense and to the plaintiff, and I don't think of myself necessarily as a fiduciary for the -- you may be technically correct, don't get me wrong, but I don't think of myself in that regard. I think of you in that regard -- plaintiffs' counsel I certainly consider fiduciaries for the class. And I consider the five named plaintiffs to -- the steering committee to be fiduciaries for the class. I just don't think of myself as a fiduciary for the class.

I mean, I'm trying to figure out why I should approve -- if you're trying to solicit information from the class, I mean documents and, you know, W-2s, tax returns, K-1s, 1099s, furlough notices, you know, there is a whole -- if you read the interrogatories or the demand for documents, there is even a lot of other things in there, as well.

What kind of decision does plaintiff think I'm going to make? I mean, how do I judge whether it's fair or not fair? I mean, I don't give legal advice. I mean, do I think that maybe it's too much or not enough?

MS. ACCHIONE: Well, Your Honor, to be honest, we were not a hundred percent certain whether the Court's approval of the notice was required. We decided to proceed with an abundance of caution and seek the Court's approval of the form of notice.

We also suspected that there would be information

-Teleconference -

that -- in addition to what we requested, there may be additional information that ALPA would request of the class members, as well, and to the extent it made sense to reach out to the class members one and only one time, through a notice that was approved by the Court and ALPA had a chance to weigh in on, we thought it made sense to go that route. We filed it in advance of the October 2nd hearing. It's not returnable until after our expert reports are due, but we wanted the opportunity to discuss it, have it on record and on the docket, and have the opportunity to discuss the form of the notice at the October 2nd hearing with Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, again, I find it a little difficult to see myself approving what you strategically think you need from your clients to help prove your case. I mean, that's a decision you have to make. You know, maybe you'll decide that everyone who's eaten at a Greek restaurant in the last 20 years has to give the menu, you know, because you think that will help your case. But, I mean, I -- those are the kind of decisions that I don't normally get into. You --

MS. ACCHIONE: Okay.

THE COURT: You decide what it is you think you need to prove your case. You're working with your experts, presumably -- presumably, they would give you guidance, and they'd say, look, this is our theory and this is what we think we need to "prove" in our theory. But I don't normally get

```
involved in -- in that decision. I mean, I -- you decide what
 1
 2
    it is you think you need to prove your case, which is
    dependent on how you're going to go about doing it. And, you
 4
    know, I don't see myself, say, approving this is what you
    should be asking for.
 5
             MS. ACCHIONE: Okay.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: Because maybe next week you'll decide
 8
    there are some more things you should ask for, and the week
   after that, there are some more things. I -- or, conversely,
    if there are things asked for you don't really need. That
10
    could be looked at another way, you know. But -- I don't
11
12
    know.
13
             Right now, I was concerned only with the five
    steering committee members, the plaintiffs, the now -- the
14
   now-named plaintiffs. Bud Bensel, where are you when we need
15
16
    you? And that they produce --
17
             MR. CONNELL: You're getting a rise out of this end
18
    of the phone call.
19
             THE COURT: What did you say?
20
             MR. CONNELL: You're getting a rise on this end of
21
    the phone call.
22
             THE COURT: Who is? Oh, Bud? Well, maybe. He's
23
   probably listening in and we don't even know it.
24
             THE COURT REPORTER: Your Honor, they're not
```

identifying themselves when they speak.

25

THE COURT: Oh yeah. When you speak, please identify 1 2 yourselves so my court reporter can get the right person. MR. CONNELL: That was John Connell. 3 THE COURT: Okay. I want the information -- I don't 4 5 think it should be doled out. I mean, I think it should be 6 produced right away. Because I think at this point we're 7 going to have the tax returns, the W-2s, the 1099s, the K-1s. 8 If there is furlough notices of one kind -- or I assume you have a recall notice, you have a notice of putting you on furlough, and they must have some kind of notice where they 10 11 recall you. Don't they? 12 MR. CONNELL: Yes, they do. 13 THE COURT: Yeah. If they recall you, you know, you have some kind of notice. You know, whatever could be 14 produced of what's asked for, if it exists. I mean, I have no 15 problem, if it's truthful, for somebody to say we looked and 16 Pilot X just doesn't have this information. Sally Young 17 doesn't have it. There is no such -- we don't have this. 18 19 Maybe it existed once but we don't have it now. Okay. As the plaintiffs have pointed out, nothing I can do about that, 20 unless there is some kind of proof that somebody, you know, 21 22 was deliberately deep-sixing documents. But, you know, this 23 is stuff from ten years ago, more than ten years ago. 24 So could we do that? Can we get the stuff for those 25 five people, completely? Why is there such silence?

```
1
             MR. FRAM: Your Honor, Steve Fram. You already
 2
    directed --
 3
             THE COURT: Well, can I direct it again?
             MR. FRAM: Absolutely. You're the judge. You can do
 4
    that. Do you want to give a deadline or --
 5
 6
             THE COURT: Well, I can't figure it out.
 7
    depositions were scheduled some time ago. I don't like the
 8
    idea, just conceptually, of producing documents on the morn of
    deposition. I mean, you ought to give at least a couple of
    days, you know. So, you know, at least a little time.
10
             What's left, Mr. Jacobson or Mr. Press, what's left
11
    to produce that is produceable, that we do have, that just
12
13
   hasn't yet been produced? Is there anything that's going to
   be produced as to these five people that has not yet been
14
   produced and is not lost?
15
             MR. CONNELL: Judge, this is John Connell. We're
16
   back. We got cut off, for mechanical reasons here, for about
17
18
   probably two-and-a-half minutes.
             MR. PRESS: Two-and-a-half minutes.
19
             MR. CONNELL: The last thing that I think we heard
20
    from Your Honor was about -- shortly after Bud Bensel.
21
22
             THE COURT: Well, let me -- I'll go back.
23
             I don't quite understand -- I've already limited, for
24
    the moment, the discovery in those document requests to the
25
    five named plaintiffs -- now named plaintiffs. Okay.
```

```
let's start with that. We're not talking about 1500 or
 1
 2
   whatever number of pilots would be involved. We're just
 3
    talking about five.
 4
             Is there any reason -- well, let me ask you.
    there any material relating to those five that you have, in
 5
    other words, that will not be claimed to be lost, but exists
 6
 7
    and is yet to be produced?
 8
             MR. PRESS: Yes. Just --
 9
             THE COURT: Then why can't we get it all out right
    now? We're only talking about five people.
10
             MR. PRESS: Yes, and some of those documents are on
11
   planes on the way here for a deposition tomorrow. It's all
12
13
    going to be provided before the depositions begin, Judge.
             MR. CONNELL: But, Judge, that's the point. I mean,
14
    this was supposed to be in preparation for the depositions of
15
    September 11th and Mr. Finucan, who is being deposed today, is
16
    a very accommodating and very forthcoming witness, has said
17
    that some of this documentation he provided to his prior
18
19
    counsel, and for some reason it hasn't made its way to current
20
    counsel.
21
             THE COURT: Prior counsel meaning Cureton?
             MR. CONNELL: I'm not -- he didn't identify which
22
23
    one. But --
24
             UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
                                    Judge --
25
             MR. FINUCAN: I had told you that I had forwarded
```

```
everything that I had to previous counsel.
 1
 2
             THE COURT: That's --
 3
             MR. PRESS: Judge -- this is Mr. Press.
 4
             MR. FINUCAN: I did not say that.
 5
             MR. PRESS: All we're talking about is the tax
    returns, Judge, and --
 6
 7
             THE COURT: Then let me start. I mean, getting
 8
    information out of you guys is like doing root canal.
 9
             Other than tax returns, other than tax returns, has
    all available information relating to just the five class
10
    members, the five class representatives, been produced?
11
    the extent you can find that it exists.
12
13
             MR. PRESS: Yes, it's an affirmative.
             THE COURT: Okay.
14
15
             MR. CONNELL: Well, the question is -- it's simply
    out there. The fact of the matter is -- this is John Connell,
16
    Judge.
17
             The fact of the matter is there are documents that
18
19
    the witnesses are going to have to go back and check on
    because they haven't -- they haven't been able to
20
    affirmatively tell me that they do not have these documents.
21
22
             THE COURT: Well, he just told it to you.
             MR. JACOBSON: Your Honor, this is Joe Jacobson.
23
24
             I would say that is a mischaracterization of the
25
    testimony today. This witness was asked about --
```

19 -Teleconference -THE COURT: Well, I'm not asking about this witness. 1 2 MR. JACOBSON: Your Honor, we have produced -- my 3 understanding is we produced everything that our five 4 witnesses have that are responsive to the document requests. 5 THE COURT: And you're also affirming that you cannot 6 find the other -- other things they asked for that you haven't 7 produced? 8 MR. JACOBSON: Right. They have searched their records and they've looked through their documents and 9 everything that they had in response, they provided to us. 10 There was a misunderstanding on our part regarding the tax 11 returns only. That's why those were not produced initially. 12 13 There was communication between Mr. Fram and Mr. Press on the tax returns. We've gathered the tax returns. We've produced 14 some of them. The others will be produced before those 15 respective witnesses' depositions. They are one documents --16 17

they are one small group of documents each, not going to 18 interfere with their preparation for the depositions. 19 Everything else that our clients have, to the best of my 20 knowledge, that's responsive, has been located and produced.

THE COURT: Okay. The witness you have there, what's his name?

MR. JACOBSON: Mike Finucan.

24 THE COURT: Mike Finucan. Mr. Finucan, can you hear

25 me?

21

22

23

-Teleconference -MR. FINUCAN: I can, Your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: Mr. Finucan, did you receive a copy of 3 the demand for documents? Do you know what was demanded? 4 MR. FINUCAN: I do know what was demanded of me, what was requested of me. 5 6 THE COURT: That's what I mean, requested. I mean, 7 did you actually look at the demand for documents? 8 document in which --9 MR. FINUCAN: No, I actually spoke to Mr. Connell about that. He presented me with one specific document, and 10 it wasn't -- I don't recall reading that document, Your Honor, 11 but I do recall counsel asking me for every -- every facet of 12 documentation that was --13 THE COURT: Well, okay. Thank you, sir. 14 Defendants, one of the things you can do, if you have 15 16 any doubt, is question the witness, say you have -- what is it -- 14 categories of documents asked for? 17 MR. CONNELL: Correct. 18 THE COURT: You just go through and say, "Did you 19 search for all these? Have you produced all that you could 20 find?" It's just five minutes of questioning. And you can 21 22 verify whether, in fact, he has produced every document that 23 he has in those categories or not, leaving aside the tax 24 returns for the moment. What's the problem with that?

MR. CONNELL: Judge, I understand that. And we have

25

-Teleconference -

done that to a certain degree so far. The fact of the matter is this information was ordered to be produced a long time ago. Why are we getting tax returns at the beginning of each deponent's respective deposition? Why can't we have that in preparation --

THE COURT: Let me get the tax returns -- but you understand, you can -- it's proper questioning for you to ask, you know, look at Number 7. Whatever -- I don't even know what number 7 is. But whatever -- well, it's attached to the papers. It's Tab -- it's Tab 1. And just show the witness, say, "Okay, did you produce all of that category of document?" The witness will say "yes" or "no" or "I've looked for them but I can't find them" or "I can find only some of them."

Whatever he's going to say, he'll say.

And as to the tax returns, Mr. Jacobson, why has -why -- those, in a way, would be the documents most likely to
be available. People, I think, would tend to save tax returns
more than other kinds of documents. You know, some of the
things you ask for, I can understand why somebody would not
necessarily retain them. But tax returns, people, I think,
are more likely to retain than not.

MR. JACOBSON: With Mr. Finucan, he had most of the tax returns.

THE COURT: I have my tax returns back to law school, when it was a card. The whole tax return was one card, not

-Teleconference -

even a whole sheet of paper. It was a card you could fill out and send in. And I believe I have them all the way back to law school. But those are the kind of things that you are likely to keep.

So why -- just give me an explanation of why we're

So why -- just give me an explanation of why we're getting them so belatedly.

MR. JACOBSON: Your Honor, as I said earlier, there was -- my understanding, from talking to Allen Press, more directly because he was involved in that, a misunderstanding between Allen and the other side about --

THE COURT: This is Joe Jacobson.

MR. JACOBSON: -- about the tax returns are part --

THE COURT: Joe Jacobson.

MR. JACOBSON: -- or if they had been listed, he would have -- if he had realized it, that during that argument you had, he would have argued that that wasn't relevant because it contained additional information that should be privileged on the wife, includes income that's not in any way -- wasn't related, and that all of the information --

THE COURT: But with five people, if you thought there was some kind of privileged information or information that could be subject to confidentiality, that could be redacted.

MR. JACOBSON: Yes, and we have done that. Your Honor, what -- during that time, he didn't realize the tax

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
returns were called for. When we produced the documents, it
did not include tax returns. The other side contacted us,
there was an exchange of letters, and then we said okay, and
we went and started getting tax returns to produce it. So it
was a misapprehension or oversight on our part. It's been
corrected. And the process of correction is in the process of
being completed. And, frankly, they don't contain information
that's going to be relevant, for example, the W-2s and the
1099s, but they want it, we've redacted out Social Security
numbers of the spouses and the children, and we're producing
the documents.
         THE COURT: Well, nobody is objecting -- I don't
think anybody is objecting to you redacting out the wives' and
children's Social Security numbers.
         MR. CONNELL: No, Judge. John Connell. We're not
objecting to that. But the fact is, with all due respect --
         THE COURT: All due respect to whom?
         MR. CONNELL: To the plaintiffs' counsel.
         THE COURT: Not to me?
         MR. CONNELL: Oh, no, not to you.
         THE COURT: Because I don't like that phrase --
         MR. CONNELL: No, Judge --
         THE COURT: Because the phrase "with all due respect"
really means we have none and that's what you're going to get.
        MR. CONNELL: The fact of the matter is plaintiffs'
```

24 -Teleconference counsel said, and we quoted this in our letter to the Court, 1 2 that they would be identifying the W-2s and the tax returns. 3 Tax returns. Tax returns for damaged class members. This was on July 31. What's the misunderstanding? We should have this 4 5 stuff long before now. 6 THE COURT: Mr. Press or Mr. Jacobson, when are the 7 tax returns for the five class members going to be completely 8 produced? 9 MR. PRESS: Sally Young's depo is tomorrow morning at She is bringing them with her. 10 THE COURT: Okay. So --11 12 MR. PRESS: -- deposition tomorrow at 1. He is on a 13 plane bringing them with him. Ted Case's deposition is the following afternoon and he will have them produced before 14 then. So it's all going to happen this week, Judge. 15 They're going -- they're going to take the 16 depositions. They'll have the tax returns. They've had the 17 wage data since September 7th. There is absolutely no impact 18 19 on their ability to take these depositions. They're going to 20 go forward and get completed, just as you ordered.

THE COURT: Okay. As a practical matter, Mr. Connell or Mr. Fram --MR. CONNELL: Judge --

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: -- what would you ask me to do?

MR. CONNELL: Well, I also need the furlough

```
information, all the written documentation related --
1
 2
             THE COURT: But they've said they don't have it.
 3
             MR. CONNELL: They have not said that they don't have
 4
    it.
             THE COURT: Well then, question them. You have a guy
 5
    there. Ask him a question. He's under oath.
 6
 7
             MR. CONNELL: Judge, I will do that, but the fact is
 8
    this Court ordered them to produce that information --
             THE COURT: But we don't know -- it doesn't stretch
 9
    the imagination that a lot of this stuff may not just exist in
10
    their files. I mean, maybe they're lurking in the company
11
    files somewhere, but, you know, I don't know that -- if they
12
13
    got a furlough notice or a recall notice --
             MR. CONNELL: Judge --
14
15
             THE COURT: -- you know, eight years go, that they
    still have it.
16
17
             MR. PRESS: It's Allen, Judge. We produced the data
18
    they requested for the five classes.
19
             THE COURT: I know. I mean, you guys are just
20
    talking over each other's heads. I mean, you say you produced
    everything you could find, and they say you haven't, implying
21
22
    that there is stuff you could find but just haven't produced.
23
    The only way I know, you have the guy under oath in front of
24
    you. Ask him if, you know -- furlough notices, have you
25
   produced all your furlough notices? You know?
```

MR. FRAM: Your Honor, Steve Fram. 1 2 With respect to Sally Young, are we going to receive 3 the materials that I referred to before about this period where she had to take off because of some type of disciplinary 5 issue? I don't think Mr. Press or Mr. Jacobson have addressed 6 that. 7 THE COURT: Why don't you -- you'll have her under 8 oath. Ask her. 9 MR. FRAM: Okav. The other thing, Your Honor --That's why we're taking the depositions. THE COURT: 10 And, you know, look, depositions cover all the time, not just 11 in this case, the whereabouts of documents. I mean, there are 12 13 depositions of commercial cases where days are spent questioning a witness, not about the facts of a case, but just 14 15 about where documents are and where they might be found and 16 who has them and where they are being stored. I mean, days of depositions are -- can sometimes include that. I mean, that's 17 nothing -- you know, and I wouldn't -- and I don't -- I don't 18 19 think that's improper in this case. You know, and if she was 20 incapable of flying because of some -- some health reason or 21 disciplinary reason or combination of both, the -- well then, 22 she can be questioned about that. 23 MR. FRAM: Your Honor, these depositions were limited 24 to four hours each. If we need --25 THE COURT: You know, give me a call. I'm here all

the time. I mean, you know, the -- I mean, ask the question.

See how long it takes. I mean, you have 14 categories of

documents. It just can't be that long.

MR. JACOBSON: Your Honor, this is Joe Jacobson.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. JACOBSON: I point out that at this deposition,
Mr. Finucan was asked questions about that, and he was able to
describe the two periods of time when he was not flying
because of medical reasons, and I think the questions answered
together took less than five minutes.

THE COURT: You know, again, I wasn't there.

Mr. Finucan sounds like he's being responsive, which is a good thing. But ask him. If it turns out it takes more than four hours, fine, we'll add an hour. I mean I -- I don't consider it to be rigid. I was just trying to set some reasonable framework. But there is nothing in this case, nothing unusual about inquiring into a witness, not about the facts of a case, but to where documents are, if that witness knows where one might find documents of a particular description. And I believe that if one of the five class -- class reps was disciplined or for health reasons barred from flying for a particular period of time, that's -- A, that's proper discovery; and, B, if there are documents that back that up, you're entitled to know where they are. I agree with all that.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

-Teleconference -

But these generalized, you know, whines that you don't have the documents aren't getting us anywhere. What can I do? I'm not -- am I going to dismiss the case? No, I'm not going to do that. So why don't we take what we have, we have the witnesses coming in in the next four -- the next few days, apparently, they're all going to be in. I heard four -- at least four of them are. Is the fifth going to be in, too? MR. FRAM: Yes, Your Honor. All this week. THE COURT: They are all going to be in. Question them. You know, ask questions. By the way, I will even give you my cell phone number and so if I'm -- because I will be out of the office for tomorrow, but you can reach me on my cell phone. And I'll tell -- if you have some question, you want an extra half hour or an extra hour, we'll work on it. My number is 856 -- no. 908. 908-227-6076. All right? If you can't reach It's 908. me here at the office. And --MR. FRAM: Could I just go back quickly to the motion for notice to the class? THE DEPUTY CLERK: It's Mr. Fram. MR. FRAM: It was just filed today. It's been made returnable October 15 --THE COURT: When you say made returnable, is it a motion for me to approve it? Is that what it is? MR. FRAM: It is, Your Honor. And our problem is

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

-Teleconference -

that we're not going to see the expert reports, as you know, until the 28th and we're not even having a conference that Your Honor set until October the 2nd --THE COURT: Okay. MR. FRAM: -- and we thought this whole issue of notice would be a topic for discussion at that conference. So --THE COURT: Okay. The only thing you can do to help me -- if you want. I won't even order you to do it. But it would be helpful if you could, in that mighty machine that is Archer Greiner, do just a bit of research as to whether a class representative giving notice to a class, seeking proofs, documents to prove an aspect of the case, requires Court approval. I'm just not familiar with that concept. I mean, class-action lawyers are gathering information to support their claim usually starting before the complaint is ever filed and continuing on probably until the afternoon of trial. MR. FRAM: Your Honor, we're fairly confident that they're not required to --THE COURT: I mean, I just -- I am not familiar with -- with the notion that when class counsel or class representatives seek information from members of the class to support their claim, particularly in this kind of case, where it's a finite class and we're not dealing with 50,000 people,

you know, who bought unleaded gasoline and they claimed you

would get rich if you bought it, and there is a lawsuit over 1 2 it, you know. I mean, this is a very finite class, very specific theory. I just don't know where that would come from. Why the class lawyers -- I'm not even sure they need to 5 deal with the class -- you know, I mean, they want to coordinate with the class reps, just to make sure, you know, 6 7 get the information from them. But I don't even know if they 8 need -- why they can't go out to the class and say, "Look, we'd like this information, this information, this information. It's going to help us prove our case." Why not? 10 Unless I'm missing something, I don't understand why not. 11 MR. FRAM: Your Honor, we'll submit something. I 12 13 think we may want to wait until we've seen their expert --THE COURT: Yeah, I'm not -- you may do that. I 14 mean, I'm not ordering you to do this. 15 MR. FRAM: It would be helpful, Your Honor, if we 16 could agree now, though, that the return date of the motion is 17 18 put off for one motion cycle. THE COURT: When is it now returnable? 19 MR. FRAM: It's returnable on the 15th. 20 THE COURT: Of October. 21 22 MR. FRAM: Of October. That means our opposition would be due on October 1 which is before we have the 23 24 conference on October 2. 25 THE COURT: Do you want to push everything off two

```
-Teleconference —
   weeks?
1
 2
             MR. FRAM: Yeah, if we could do that, I think it
 3
   would be helpful.
             THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Jacobson, Mr. Press?
 4
 5
             MR. PRESS: No.
 6
             MR. JACOBSON: No objection.
 7
             THE COURT: Okay. I'll make the return date -- make
    sure you notice that. We'll make the return date the 29th,
 8
 9
    and your response will be due the 15th.
             MR. FRAM: Yes, Your Honor.
10
             THE COURT: Right?
11
12
             MR. FRAM: Thank you.
13
             THE COURT: Your response is due the 15th. But don't
    give me a thousand pages. I would be happy with three or four
14
15
    case cites, each with a little blurb.
             MR. FRAM: Yes, Your Honor.
16
17
             THE COURT: I mean, I don't need a magnum opus to get
18
    anywhere. Keep Egan away from the file.
19
             (Laughter.)
20
             MR. FRAM: I'll pass that comment along.
21
             THE COURT: Pass that along. I don't want him
22
    anywhere near this ALPA file.
23
             They came in with seven motions. I brought them into
24
    the library and showed them six trans files filled with
25
    documents which constituted the motions. And I said, who do
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
-Teleconference -
you expect -- thousands of pages in each one, and highly
technical. I said, "Who do you expect to read this stuff?"
         MR. FRAM: Your Honor, we'll set up a firewall
between this file and Mr. Egan.
         THE COURT: Yes. And, you know, like "The Tell-Tale
Heart." Can't we put Mr. Egan and block him into someplace
and then put plaster and brick over it so he doesn't have
access to a computer?
         MR. CONNELL: That didn't restrain the heart, Judge.
         THE COURT: Oh, I know. The heart kept beating.
That was -- that's right. That was the whole purpose of the
Poe story, "The Tell-Tale Heart." So -- that's right. The
heart kept beating.
        All right. Anything else?
         UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I think that covers it.
         UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Nothing here, Judge.
         UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you.
         THE COURT: Anything from the plaintiffs?
         UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
         THE COURT: Okay. You have a deposition, you have
witnesses coming in, use it. And, again, remember, there is
nothing improper about questioning a witness about the
whereabouts of documents. That's routine in heavy-duty
```

commercial litigation. Routine. And with E-discovery, it's

even become more routine, because now it's not where they are

```
-Teleconference —
    physically located, but where they are -- in the cloud, where
 1
 2
    they are located. And, you know, so it's perfectly proper
 3
    questioning.
 4
             And so -- all right. We will -- I will see you soon
    enough. Have a good weekend. And, oh, it's only Monday --
 5
    only Tuesday. Well, have a good weekend, anyhow.
 7
             (Laughter.)
 8
             UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you, Judge.
 9
             THE COURT: Okay. Bye-bye.
             UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Bye.
10
             UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Bye.
11
12
             UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Bye.
13
             (The proceedings concluded at 4:45 p.m.)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	
2	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
3	
4	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript of the
5	record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
6	East Famill
9	Carol Farrell, CCR, CRR, RMR, CCP
10	Realtime Systems Administrator
11	United States Court Reporter
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	